

# DESIGN EDUCATION IN THE INFORMATION AGE

John Broadbent and Nigel Cross

*Keywords: Design education, Information Age, General Evolution Theory, technological change* 

### **1. Introduction**

Technology is a major driver of sociocultural change. Kurzweil[2001] believes that technological change has historically followed a double exponential curve (Table 1).

Economy	Duration(years)
Hunter-gathering	500,000
Agricultural	10,000
Industrial	200(1760s to 1950s)
Information	75-80(1940s-2020s)
Biotechnological	70(1970s to 2040s)
Nanotechnological	70(2020s to 2090s)

#### Table 1. Technological transformations in time

We are reaching the sharp end of this curve, with the prospect of three technological revolutions this century; the complexity and scale of these transformations is such that a 60-70 year cycle may be a minimum. We are some 50 years into the industrial/information transformation, which should mature over the next two decades. This paper speculates on the main features of design education as societies make this transformation. In doing so:

- a theoretical framework is proposed, to better understand such transformations
- this framework is used to interpret developments in design during the industrial age
- the insights so gained and the theoretical framework are then used to predict how design may change during the rest of the information age
- these insights in turn are used to anticipate how design education might develop during the rest of the information age

# **2. Underlying theoretical framework**

A theoretical framework which sheds light equally on the past, present and future trajectories of design practice is desirable; General Evolution Theory of Ervin Laszlo [1996] is used for this purpose. Laszlo posited that all dynamic systems – physical, biological, social, cognitive – have a commonality of process; his theory is, thus, inclusive of all complex adaptive systems. We can view design practice as such a system – both in its own right and as part of the much larger systems of product/service generation and, ultimately, of socioculture itself. There are several "operational" features of Laszlo's theory which can inform the interpretational task(Table 2).

#### Table 2. Key features of evolving systems

- \* Systems which evolve must cope with progressively larger information flows(**Informatization**)
- \* A major evolutionary strategy is **convergence**, leading in human affairs, to **globalisation**
- Within the framework of convergence, **divergence** is an important means of creating evolutionary potential
- \* As systems evolve, they become more complex(**Complexification**)
- **Coevolution**, the interplay of collaboration and competition, is a key operational strategy in increasingly complex systems
- Systems experience increasing **turbulence** as they approach a bifurcation(decision point); the weaker bonding, and hence greater **flexibility**, of complex systems is an important coping mechanism at such times
- **Creativity** occurs particularly at the edge of chaos: as complex systems become more flexible, their creative potential increases and this creativity further accelerates their evolution
- Systems seek to **optimize** their function at all times
- As more complex social systems are more weakly bonded, there is an increasing need for **social responsibility**

### 3. Design practice in the Industrial Age

The Industrial Age was accompanied by significant changes in design practice, as expressed through the emergence of design movements(Figure 1).



Recognising design practice as an evolving system, we can identify the key implications of this age for design practice as follows:

**Informatization:** Information accrual accelerated through the industrial age. Martin & Norman [1973:26] noted: 'It has been estimated that by 1800 [the sum total of human knowledge] was doubling every 50 years; by 1950, doubling every 10 years; and that presently it is doubling every five years'. Although product development is often described as an exercise in information processing, it was not until the late 1980s that large UK firms recognized the need for extensive and timely access to information during product development[Fairhead, 1987:7].

**Convergence:** From often diverse craft beginnings, convergent design practice between countries characterised the industrial age – as evidenced by the international nature of the design movements of this time. Some – like the Arts & Crafts and Art nouveau – were ultimately evolutionary cul-de-sacs. The Deutscher Werkbund and Modernism, on the other hand, became increasingly aligned with industry. Modernism in particular has been more enduring, and more systemic in nature than earlier movements, encompassing not only the arts and design, but also aesthetics, literature, theology.

**Divergence:** Despite these convergent trends, notable divergences also occurred. For example, product standardization was adopted by the North Americans from the early 1820s(the 'American System of Manufactures'), almost a century before it became a guiding principle of the Deutscher Werkbund. Divergence was even more evident in design education(see below).

**Complexification:** Industrialization changed the very basis of human existence and, in so doing, increased complexity in every aspect of socioculture. Design practice learnt to cope with increasing diversity in products, materials, manufacturing processes, markets, etc.

**Coevolution:** Coevolution is the practice of using both competitive and collaborative strategies to enhance survival; it is frequent in biological systems. Competition, rather than coevolution, characterised business in the industrial age, with little collaboration beyond e.g. price fixing.

**Turbulence:** Systems experience growing turbulence as they move out of balance with their environments; this can lead to transformational events, in which the system is re-formed or collapses. Thus, the design movements of the industrial age all existed for relatively short periods of time(25-70 years), after which they collapsed or transformed into a new movement.

**Creativity:** The slow, local, incremental changes of the craft age were replaced by broadly-based, rapid societal innovation in the industrial age. The consequences of this for design practice were dramatic: 'In the past...the individual designer would stand to *some* extent upon the shoulders of his predecessors ... Now the last shreds of tradition are being torn from him ... Bewildered, the formmaker stands alone ... He has to be encouraged now to think his task through from the beginning, and to "create" the form he is concerned with, for what once took many generations of gradual development is now attempted by a single individual'[Alexander, 1964:4].

**Social responsibility:** The design movements of the industrial era generally promoted social and moral responsibility. The Arts & Crafts movement idealistically opposed industrialization because of the perceived tastelessness of industrial products, and of concerns about the control of technology, "joyless work", loss of skills. The Deutscher Werkbund sought to restore dignity to labour in industry and create a distinctive national style of design. The Bauhaus also had a social agenda. Nonetheless, most did not achieve their goals, and it required the widespread emergence of consumer rights organizations after World War II, and the introduction of consumer protection laws in the 1960s before designers accepted responsibility for the wider consequences of their designs. The 1970s saw the widespread emergence of concerns about design and the environment/disadvantaged. Feminist concerns with design emerged from 1980, and from the mid-1980s ecodesign developed in response to environmental concerns.

## 4. Design education in the Industrial Age

There is a history of arts and crafts education in Britain which extends over at least 250 years[e.g. Bignamini, 1990]. Despite growing references to design in this period, and multiple initiatives to promote design education, the discovery of an effective formula for design education was elusive. Much the same can be said for most other industrializing countries at the time. The strong influence of the fine and decorative arts and prevailing sociopolitical conditions worked against the much-needed breakthrough.

It was only from late 19<sup>th</sup> century in Germany that conditions for this breakthrough appeared. With the death of William Morris in 1896, the initiative for change had passed from England to the continent and the USA. After a short interregnum, in which the USA – still largely dependent on foreign designers – was unable to respond, Germany became the change-agent. A number of developments through the 1880/90s laid the foundations for design's emergence in Germany. The influence of the English Arts and Crafts movement was evident during the late 1890s(e.g. founding of the Deutsche Werkstätten in 1897, the Dresdner Werkstätten in 1898); from 1900 these initiatives engaged with industry. Other developments, such as the Debschitz School in Munich(1902), also laid foundations for the Bauhaus. These initiatives were reinforced by establishment of the Werkbund Education Committee in 1908. When the Bauhaus formed in 1919, it initially promoted arts and crafts ideals. It was not until 1922, and the arrival of Moholy-Nagy in 1923, that a clear and decisive shift in Bauhaus ideology towards industry became evident. The effect of wider influences on the course of events during this remarkable period is clearly evident. Following closure of the Bauhaus in 1933, many staff and students initiated similar developments elsewhere. Bauhaus ideals influenced design schools globally for another four decades or more.

# 5. Design practice in the Information Age

### **5.1 Introduction**

We can anticipate three transformational technological events as the information age matures, each with huge consequences for design:

- <u>the emergence of third generation communication technologies</u>, a process already underway which should mature around 2005
- <u>the creation of realistic virtual worlds</u>, based on the technologies of virtual reality, augmented reality and tele-immersion, within 1-2 decades
- the realization of anytime/any place/multimedia communication by the 2020s

The implications of these and related developments for design are explored below.

### **5.2 Elemental implications**

**Informatization:** it has recently been estimated that information is growing 200,000 times faster than world population[Wilson, 2001]. Such massive information flows have fundamental implications for societies, with information increasingly embedded in social and physical fabrics, and the rapid emergence of cyber economies and communities. Design practice is increasingly supported by artificial intelligence and integrated information systems, a process which will need ever more urgent development.

**Convergence** is now occurring at many levels of design – between design technologies(e.g. CAD, rapid prototyping, virtual reality), between process stages(research, development, production, use, disposal), between product technologies(e.g. phones/computers/internet) and functions(e.g. voice/data/ music/video), through design globalisation.

**Divergence:** Divergence creates/evaluates options to determine the evolutionarily fittest, thus accelerating innovation and change. It is especially important in times of high uncertainty, e.g. after the introduction of a radical technology.

**Complexification:** Biological systems have used complexification as a means of improving environmental adaptation through time. Sociocultural systems have used similar strategies – becoming comprised of more parts, more kinds of parts, and greater integration of these parts – since hunter-gathering[Tainter, 2000:6]. Product complexity may arise from the number of product functions and the extent of their interdependence, number of components, novelty of product objectives, and the task uncertainty (gap between what needs to be/is known). Process complexity arises from the nature, quantity and magnitude of the organizational tasks, and their interactivity. As time-to-market shrinks, organizational/strategic means of managing this complexity become ever more challenging.

**Coevolution:** Strategic alliances between firms emerged particularly from the early 1980s, as a means of gaining market advantage. They offered numerous benefits to participants, including the pooling of resources, complementation of skills, information sharing, joint problem solving, coping with the increasing complexity of products/services. Globalisation has greatly increased the use of strategic alliances in new product development.

**Turbulence:** Design practice is adopting more flexible strategies to accommodate uncertainty and turbulence at many levels(e.g. regulatory, economic, political, environmental, technological).

**Creativity**: Wallerstein [1999: 250] has observed: 'We live in an uncertain cosmos, whose single greatest merit is the permanence of this uncertainty, because it is this uncertainty that makes possible creativity – cosmic creativity, and with that of course human creativity'. As humanity moves into increasingly turbulent times, the ability to creatively respond to emerging problems becomes ever more crucial. The capacity of humans – designers particularly – to respond accordingly will determine whether global civilisation can chart a successful course. Increasingly, human creativity will be supplemented technologically.

**Optimization** occurs in various ways, including through miniaturization. Trends will continue towards micromachines and nanomachines, with significant product dematerialization. Such trends will permit closer relationships between humans and their technologies(especially in medicine),

improve social equity through lower product costs, permit 'nomadic' designing, facilitate embedded environments, hasten cyborgs.

**Social responsibility** of designers should become more comprehensive as their roles become ever more central to societal wellbeing; designers will increasingly need to create products/services consonant with all evolutionary processes of this planet.

### **5.3** Systemic implications

The above elemental implications are strongly synergistic. While it can be relatively easy to predict elemental futures, their interplay generates surpriseful futures (emergence). Freeman & Louçã[2001:338] talk about constellations of technical and organizational innovations, such as those noted in 5.1 which, as they move through the economic and social system 'cause profound changes in the structure as well as the occupation and skills profiles and management systems. Moreover, precisely because each constellation is unique, they will have very different effects in each technological revolution'.

### 6. Design education in the Information Age

Design, it seems, is ultimately about the manipulation of complex adaptive systems. Its industrial age preoccupation with mechanistic systems will recede not only as those systems themselves develop indeterminacy but also as those systems are increasingly viewed as parts of inherently indeterminate larger systems. To the extent that complex adaptive systems are increasingly understood to follow discernible evolutionary pathways, design will become the means by which the conscious evolution of complex adaptive systems, ultimately of socioculture itself, takes place. These propositions suggest an ambitious and exciting agenda for design education in the near future, in which the following issues can be discerned:

**Role of holistic sciences:** Despite the inherently holistic nature of design, the conscious activity of designing has existed only in the reductionist era of science. The emergence of the holistic sciences of chaos and complexity in the mid 20<sup>th</sup> century provides a whole new level of understanding of our world which design practice has scarcely tapped. This view implies a fundamental reappraisal of the nature of design education.

**Further layering of design practice:** Buchanan's[1998] four orders of design – communication, construction, strategic planning, systemic integration – describe a progression of design practice of increasing complexity, increasing scale, increasing relevance to core sociocultural concerns. The holistic sciences will see new orders of design codified which further elaborate this progression. Systemicists have long recognized the deeper significance of design to socioculture[e.g. Banathy, 2000]; it is timely that the societal role of design be thought afresh by designers also. Wallerstein[1999:1] believes that 'the modern world-system ... has entered into a terminal crisis and is unlikely to exist in fifty years'. If so, the associated transformation will require designerly skills well beyond those of the current design professions! Such developments in design practice should find expression also in design education.

**Accessible design education:** As designerly approaches become more widely embedded in society, an appropriately reformulated design education should find expression in the learning of other disciplines(e.g. business, politics, science, medicine, law, agriculture) as well as in the general community.

**Collaborative designing** will become ever more important as growing product/process complexity engages more specializations and as end-users become increasingly involved in the design process(mass customization).

**Role of virtual worlds:** The technologies which create virtual worlds are developing very fast. It seems likely that within a decade or so humans will communicate as effectively in virtual worlds as they do in the real world. This should allow most, perhaps all, of the educational tasks for which face-to-face contact has been considered essential to be performed virtually instead.

**Towards pervasive learning:** Virtual worlds will become accessible anywhere/anytime/through many devices, making design education possible wherever the learner is in space and time.

**Life-long learning:** Rapid developments in design practice call for ongoing educational responses. Educational institutions should be more proactive in meeting this emerging need.

An "Information Age Bauhaus": A new model of design education is needed which effectively incorporates the foregoing principles. As with the craft/industrial transition, this will entail a substantive break with the past; it will be revolutionary rather than evolutionary.

### 7. Conclusions

It remains to consider the when? where? how? of the transition in design education proposed here. Based on the Bauhaus experience, which occurred some 85% of the way through the industrial age, we may expect a model of design education fit for the information age to emerge in 5-10 years. Freeman & Louçã[2001:122] recognized 5 semi-autonomous systems in society – science, technology, economy, politics, general culture – following a detailed study of earlier industrial revolutions. It was the interplay of these systems which created circumstances propitious for the various breakthroughs they described, as happened for the Bauhaus and as will happen also for any new educational initiative. The rapidly escalating complexity of design practice at its leading-edge, suggests that an "Information Age Bauhaus" will most likely be a collaborative venture among progressive institutions worldwide.

### References

Alexander, .C., "Notes on the synthesis of form", Harvard U.P., Cambridge, Mass, 1964

Banathy, B., "Guided evolution of society: a systems view", Kluwer/Plenum, New York, 2000.

Bignamini, I., "Great Britain: first steps toward Industrial Design", <u>In</u> Castelnuovo, E.(Ed), "History of Industrial Design", Electa, Milan, 1990.

Buchanan, R., "Branzi's dilemma: design in contemporary culture", Design Issues, Vol. 14, No. 1, 1998, pp. 3-20.

Fairhead, J., "Design for corporate culture", Report prepared for the National Economic Development Council, London, 1987.

Freeman, C. & Louçã, F., "As time goes by", Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2001.

Kurzweil, R., "The Singularity is near: a book précis",

http://www.kurzweilai.net/articles/art0134.html?printable=1

Laszlo, E. "Evolution: the general theory", Hampton, Cresskill, 1996.

Martin, N. & Norman, A., "The computerized society", Penguin, London, 1973.

*Tainter, J., "Problem solving: complexity, history, sustainability", Population and Environment, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2000, pp 3-41.* 

Wallerstein, I., "The end of the world as we know it", University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis, 1999.

Wilson, B., "Editorial: Challenging Times", D-Lib Magazine, Vol.7, No.11, 2001,

Http://sunsite.anu.edu.au/mirrors/dlib/dlib/november01/11editorial.html

Dr. John A. Broadbent,

University of Technology, Sydney, Faculty of Design, Architecture & Building,

PO Box 123, Broadway, New South Wales, Australia 2007.

Tel: (61) 2 9514 8986, Fax: (61) 2 9514 8787, Email: John.Broadbent@uts.edu.au